2 3 4 5 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JAMES K.W. MATLEAN,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01461-KJD-CWH

ORDER

Plaintiff,

٧.

JAMES DZURENDA, et al.,

Defendants.

I. DISCUSSION

On May 3, 2018, the Court issued a screening order dismissing some claims with leave to amend, dismissing some claims with prejudice, and permitting the Eighth Amendment claim to proceed against Defendants James Dzurenda, Jo Gentry, Romeo Aranas, F. Dreesen, David Tristan, Dr. Koehn, Dr. Mar, Dr. Vicuna, SL Clark, and Ben Gutierrez. (ECF No. 6 at 11). The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint. (*Id.* at 11). The Court specifically stated that if Plaintiff chose not to file an amended complaint, the action would proceed only on the Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants James Dzurenda, Jo Gentry, Romeo Aranas, F. Dreesen, David Tristan, Dr. Koehn, Dr. Mar, Dr. Vicuna, SL Clark, and Ben Gutierrez. (*Id.* at 12). Plaintiff has notified the Court that he does not wish to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 8). Therefore, pursuant to the screening order, this action shall proceed on the Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants James Dzurenda, Jo Gentry, Romeo Aranas, F. Dreesen, David Tristan, Dr. Koehn, Dr. Mar, Dr. Vicuna, SL Clark, and Ben Gutierrez.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Court's screening order (ECF No. 6), this action shall proceed on the Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants James Dzurenda, Jo Gentry, Romeo Aranas, F. Dreesen, David Tristan, Dr. Koehn, Dr. Mar, Dr. Vicuna, SL Clark, and Ben Gutierrez.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that given the nature of the claim(s) that the Court has permitted to proceed, this action is STAYED for ninety (90) days to allow Plaintiff and Defendant(s) an opportunity to settle their dispute before the \$350.00 filing fee is paid, an answer is filed, or the discovery process begins. During this ninety-day stay period, no other pleadings or papers shall be filed in this case, and the parties shall not engage in any discovery. The Court will refer this case to the Court's Inmate Early Mediation Program, and the Court will enter a subsequent order. Regardless, on or before ninety (90) days from the date this order is entered, the Office of the Attorney General shall file the report form attached to this order regarding the results of the 90-day stay, even if a stipulation for dismissal is entered prior to the end of the 90-day stay. If the parties proceed with this action, the Court will then issue an order setting a date for Defendants to file an answer or other response. Following the filing of an answer, the Court will issue a scheduling order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that "settlement" may or may not include payment of money damages. It also may or may not include an agreement to resolve Plaintiff's issues differently. A compromise agreement is one in which neither party is completely satisfied with the result, but both have given something up and both have obtained something in return.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the case does not settle, Plaintiff will be required to pay the full \$350.00 filing fee. This fee cannot be waived. If Plaintiff is allowed to proceed in forma pauperis, the fee will be paid in installments from his prison trust account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). If Plaintiff is not allowed to proceed in forma pauperis, the \$350.00 will be due immediately.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if any party seeks to have this case excluded from the inmate mediation program, that party shall file a "motion to exclude case from mediation" on or before twenty-one (21) days from the date of this order. The responding party shall have seven (7) days to file a response. No reply shall be filed. Thereafter, the Court will issue an order, set the matter for hearing, or both.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall electronically SERVE a copy of this order, the original screening order (ECF No. 6), and a copy of Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 7) on the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada, by adding the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to the docket sheet. This does not indicate acceptance of service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Attorney General's Office shall advise the Court within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the entry of this order whether it will enter a limited notice of appearance on behalf of Defendants for the purpose of settlement. No defenses or objections, including lack of service, shall be waived as a result of the filing of the limited notice of appearance.

DATED: May 18, 2018

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	JAMES K.W. MATLEAN,	Case No. 2:17-cv-01461-KJD-CWH	
11	Plaintiff,	REPORT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL RE: RESULTS OF 90-DAY STAY	
12	V.		
13	JAMES DZURENDA, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	NOTE: ONLY THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORN THE INMATE PLAINTIFF SHALL NOT FILE	EY GENERAL SHALL FILE THIS FORM. ITHIS FORM.	
16			
17	On[the date of the	issuance of the screening order], the Court	
18	issued its screening order stating that it had conducted its screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
19	§ 1915A, and that certain specified claims in this case would proceed. The Court ordered		
20	the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to file a report ninety (90) days		
21	after the date of the entry of the Court's screening order to indicate the status of the case		
22	at the end of the 90-day stay. By filing this form, the Office of the Attorney General hereby		
23	complies.		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

1	REPORT FORM	
2	[Identify which of the following two situations (identified in bold type) describes the case, and follow the instructions corresponding to the proper statement.]	
3	Situation One: Mediated Case: The case was assigned to mediation by a court-	
4	appointed mediator during the 90-day stay. [If this statement is accurate, check Of the six statements below and fill in any additional information as required, then proce	
5	to the signature block.]	
6	A mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held on [enter date], and as of this date, the parties have	
7	reached a settlement (even if paperwork to memorialize the settlement remains to be completed). (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice that they must SEPARATELY file either a contemporaneous stipulation of	
8	dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in the case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation of dismissal.)	
	A mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held on	
10 11	[enter date], and as of this date, the parties have not reached a settlement. The Office of the Attorney General therefore informs the Court of its intent to proceed with this action.	
12	No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the	
13	90-day stay, but the parties have nevertheless settled the case. (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice that they must SEPARATELY file a	
14	contemporaneous stipulation of dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in this case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation of dismissal.)	
15	No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the	
16	90-day stay, but one is currently scheduled for [enter date].	
17	No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the	
18	90-day stay, and as of this date, no date certain has been scheduled for such a session.	
19	None of the above five statements describes the status of this case.	
20	Contemporaneously with the filing of this report, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada is filing a separate document detailing the	
21	status of this case.	
22	* * * *	
23	Situation Two: Informal Settlement Discussions Case: The case was NOT assigned to mediation with a court-appointed mediator during the 90-day stay; rather, the	
24	parties were encouraged to engage in informal settlement negotiations. [If this statement is accurate, check <u>ONE</u> of the four statements below and fill in any additional	
25	information as required, then proceed to the signature block.]	
26	The parties engaged in settlement discussions and as of this date, the parties have reached a settlement (even if the paperwork to memorialize	
	the settlement remains to be completed). (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice that they must SEPARATELY file either a contemporaneous	
2728	stipulation of dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in this case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation	
	of dismissal.)	

_	parties have not reached a settle therefore informs the Court of its. The parties have not engaged in the parties have not reached General therefore informs the Court of its.	nent discussions and as of this date, the ement. The Office of the Attorney General intent to proceed with this action. I settlement discussions and as of this date, a settlement. The Office of the Attorney ourt of its intent to proceed with this action.
	The parties have not engaged in the parties have not reached General therefore informs the C	a settlement discussions and as of this date, a settlement. The Office of the Attorney ourt of its intent to proceed with this action.
	the parties have not reached General therefore informs the C	a settlement. The Office of the Attorney ourt of its intent to proceed with this action.
	None of the above three statem Contemporaneously with the fili	
	Contemporaneously with the fili	anta fullu dagaribas tha status of this sace
		ents fully describes the status of this case. ng of this report, the Office of the Attorney is filing a separate document detailing the
	status of this case.	is filing a separate document detailing the
Subm	itted this day of	, by:
Attornov Nor	mo:	
Attorney Nai	ne: Print	Signature
۸ ما ماسم م.م.		Dhanai
Address:		Phone:
		Email: